arXiv:1502.02750v1 [math.PR] 9 Feb 2015

TRANSITION DENSITIES OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL LÉVY PROCESSES

TONGKEUN CHANG

Abstract. In this paper, we study the existence of the transition densities of one-dimensional Lévy processes. Compared with past results, our results contain the Lévy processes whose Lévy symbols have logarithm behavior at infinity. Our results contain the Lévy symbol induced by the following Laplace exponent

$$\psi(\xi) := \underbrace{(\ln(1+\ln(1+\ln(\cdots\ln(1+|\xi|)))))^{\epsilon}}_{n\ times}, \qquad 0<\epsilon\le 1, \quad 2\le n. \tag{0.1}$$

We also show that ψ defined by (0.1) is a Lévy symbol with transition density.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 45P05 ; Secondary 30E25.

Keywords and phrases: Lévy process, transition densities, subordinator.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the existence of the transition densities of one-dimensional Lévy processes. Let $\{P_t,\, t>0\}$ be a distribution of the Lévy process X_t whose Fourier transform is $\mathcal{F}(P_t)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\xi\cdot y}P_t(dy) = e^{-t\eta(\xi)}$, where the Lévy symbol η has the form

$$\eta(\xi) = -ib\xi + \frac{1}{2}A\xi^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big(e^{i\xi\cdot y} - 1 - i\xi y I_{(-1,1)}(y)\Big)\nu(dy)$$

with constant b, non-negative constant A and Lévy measure ν. The Lévy measure ν satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \min(1,|y|^2)\nu(dy) < \infty.$$

The transition densities of the Lévy processes are important tools in theoretical probability, physics and finance. So, the existence of the transition densities and the asymptotic property of the Lévy processes, in particular α-stable processes, have been studied by many mathematicians (see [2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]). In those studies, transition densities have the desirable properties of continuity and boundedness, because the Lévy symbols demonstrate asymptotic, polynomial behavior at infinity.

In the present paper, we assume that the Lévy symbol η has logarithmic behavior at infinity (see (0.1)). To demonstrate the existence of transition density, we use Fourier-analytic methods. In general, the differentiability of $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is related to the behavior of f at infinity and the behavior at infinity of $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is related to the differentiability of f. Therefore, transition densitie, do not have good regularity (for example, continuity and boundedness), since we assume that the Lévy symbol

η has logarithmic behavior at infinity. Instead, to determine the behavior of transition density at infinity, we will assume that η lies in $C^2(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\})$. Our main results are as follows;

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\eta(\xi)=\eta_1(\xi)+i\eta_2(\xi)$ be a Lévy symbol of the one-dimensional Lévy process X_t. Suppose that η_1 and η_2 satisfy the following assumptions: there are a $0<\epsilon\le 1$ and $\alpha_\epsilon>0$ such that, for $\xi\in\mathbb{R}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_1(\xi)&\ge \alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(\xi),\\ |\eta_2(\xi)|&\le c\begin{cases}|\xi|^\epsilon, & |\xi|\le 1,\\ s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\xi)r_{n-1}^{-1}(\xi), & |\xi|\ge 1,\end{cases}\\ |\eta_1^{'}(\xi)|,\,|\eta_2^{'}(\xi)|&\le c\begin{cases}|\xi|^{\epsilon-1}, & |\xi|\le 1,\\ s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\xi)r_{n-1}^{-1}(\xi)(1+|\xi|)^{-1}, & |\xi|\ge 1,\end{cases}\\ |\eta_1^{''}(\xi)|,\,|\eta_2^{''}(\xi)|&\le c\begin{cases}|\xi|^{\epsilon-2}, & |\xi|\le 1,\\ s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\xi)r_{n-1}^{-1}(\xi)(1+|\xi|)^{-2}, & |\xi|\ge 1,\end{cases}\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}s_1(\xi)&=\ln(|\xi|+1),\\ s_n(\xi)&:=\ln(1+s_{n-1}(\xi)),\quad n\ge 2,\\ r_n(\xi)&:=s_n(\xi)s_{n-1}(\xi)\cdots s_1(\xi)(=s_n(\xi)r_{n-1}(\xi)).\end{aligned}$$

Then, X_t has a transition density p_t such that for all $0<t<\infty$, p_t satisfies that, if $|x|\le 1$, then

$$p_t(x)\le c(t)\frac{1}{|x|}e^{-\alpha_\epsilon t s_n^\epsilon(\frac{1}{x})}s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\frac{1}{x})r_{n-1}^{-1}(\frac{1}{x})$$

and, if $|x|\ge 1$, then

$$p_t(x)\le c(t)\begin{cases}|x|^{-1-\epsilon}, & 0<\epsilon<1,\\ |x|^{-2}\ln(1+|x|), & \epsilon=1,\end{cases}$$

where $c(t)$ is positive constant dependent on t such that $c(t)\le ct$ for $t\le 1$. Moreover, if $|\eta_1^{''}(\xi)|$ is integrable in the interval $(0,1)$, then for $|x|\ge 1$,

$$p_t(x)\le c(t)|x|^{-2},\qquad \epsilon=1.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let η be a symmetric real-valued function satisfying the assumption of Theorem 1.1 such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(\xi)&\le \alpha_0 s_n^\epsilon(\xi),\\ -\eta_1^{''}(\xi)&\ge c\begin{cases}s_{n-1}^{\epsilon-1}(\xi)r_{n-1}^{-1}(\xi)(1+\xi)^{-2}, & |\xi|\ge 1,\\ |\xi|^{\epsilon-2}, & |\xi|\le 1.\end{cases}\end{aligned}\tag{1.2}$$

Then, the transition density p_t of X_t satisfies that if $|x|\le 1$, then

$$p_t(x)\ge c(t)\frac{1}{|x|}e^{-\alpha_0 t s_n^\epsilon(\frac{1}{x})}s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\frac{1}{x})r_{n-1}^{-1}(\frac{1}{x})$$

and, if $|x| \geq 1$*, then*

$$p_t(x) \geq c(t) \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|x|^{2-\epsilon}}, & 0 < \epsilon < 1, \\ \frac{1}{|x|^2} \ln(1+|x|), & \epsilon = 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if $|\eta_1^{''}(\xi)|$ *is integrable in the interval* $(0,1)$*, then, for* $|x| \geq 1$*,*

$$p_t(x) \geq c(t)|x|^{-2}, \qquad \epsilon = 1.$$

The typical examples of the one-dimensional Lévy processes are subordinators. Let the Lévy process S_t be a subordinator, that is,

$$S_t \geq 0 \quad \text{a.s. for each} \quad t > 0,$$

$$S_{t_1} \leq S_{t_2} \quad \text{a.s. whenever} \quad t_1 < t_2.$$

The Lévy symbol η of subordinator S_t has the form

$$\eta(\xi) = ib\xi - \int_0^\infty (e^{i\xi y} - 1)\lambda(dy), \tag{1.3}$$

where $b \geq 0$ and the Lévy measure λ satisfies the additional requirements

$$\lambda(-\infty, 0) = 0, \qquad \int_0^\infty \min(y,1)\lambda(dy) < \infty. \tag{1.4}$$

Conversely, any mapping $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ of the form (1.3) is the Lévy symbol of a subordinator.

Now, if S_t is subordinator, then, for each $t \geq 0$, the map $f(\xi) = Ee^{i\xi S_t} = e^{-t\eta(\xi)}$ can be analytically continued to the region $\{v + i\xi \,|\, v \in \mathbb{R},\, \xi > 0\}$. Let $F(z) = e^{-t\eta(z)}$ be an analytical extension of $e^{-t\eta(\xi)}$ over $\{v + i\xi \,|\, v \in \mathbb{R},\, \xi > 0\}$. Then, we get

$$F(i\xi) = Ee^{-\xi S_t} = e^{-t\eta(i\xi)} := e^{-t\psi(\xi)}, \qquad \xi \geq 0,$$

where

$$\psi(\xi) = \eta(i\xi) = -b\xi - \int_0^\infty (e^{-\xi y} - 1)\lambda(dy) \tag{1.5}$$

for each $\xi > 0$. This is much more useful for theoretical and practical applications than is the Lévy symbol. The function ψ is usually called the Laplace exponent of the subordinator.

The Laplace exponents of subordinators are characterized by the Bernstein functions. We say that the continuous function $\psi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is a Bernstein function if $(-1)^k \psi^{(k)} \leq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If ψ is the Laplace exponent of the subordinator, then, from (1.5), ψ is a Bernstein function.

Conversely, if ψ is a Bernstein function, then there are a non-negative real number b and a measure λ defined in $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (1.4) such that (1.5) holds. Moreover, there is a subordinator S_t such that

$$\eta(\xi) = \psi(-i\xi) \tag{1.6}$$

is the Lévy symbol of S_t.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce two lemmas to prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. In section 3 and section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, respectively. In section 5, we introduce examples satisfying Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

In this paper, we denote by c various generic positive constants and by $c(*,\cdots,*)$ the constants depending only on the quantities appearing in the parenthesis.

2. Main Lemmas

In this section, we introduce the two lemmas for the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. The first lemma is as follows.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that, for* $\xi \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\eta_1(\xi) \geq g_1(|\xi|), \quad |\eta_2(\xi)| \leq g_2(|\xi|),\\
&|\eta_1'(\xi)|,\ |\eta_2'(\xi)| \leq g_3(|\xi|),\\
&|\eta_1''(\xi)|,\ |\eta_2''(\xi)| \leq g_4(|\xi|).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Let $f_1(\xi) = e^{-t\eta_1(\xi)}\cos t\eta_2(\xi)$, $f_2(\xi) = e^{-t\eta_1(\xi)}\sin t\eta_2(\xi)$ *and*

$$G(\xi) := e^{-tg_1(\xi)}\big(t^2 g_3(\xi)^2 + t g_4(\xi)\big).$$

Suppose that G *is decreasing in* $(0,\infty)$. *Then, for* $x \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $t \in (0,\infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_1(\xi)\cos x\xi d\xi| \leq c\Big(\frac{1}{|x|^2}\int_{\frac{2\pi}{|x|}}^\infty G(y)dy + \frac{1}{|x|^3}G(\frac{1}{x}) + \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2|x|}} G(y)y^2 dy\Big),\\
&|\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_2(\xi)\sin x\xi d\xi| \leq c\Big(\frac{1}{|x|^2}\int_{\frac{2\pi}{|x|}}^\infty G(y)dy + \frac{t}{|x|}\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}\pi} e^{-tg_1(|\frac{y}{x}|)}g_2(|\frac{y}{x}|)dy\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1''(\xi) = e^{-t\eta_1(\xi)}\Big(&(-t\eta_1'(\xi))^2\cos t\eta_2(\xi) + (-t\eta_1''(\xi))\cos t\eta_2(\xi) + (-t\eta_1'(\xi))(-t\eta_2'(\xi))\sin t\eta_2(\xi)\\
&+ (-t\eta_1'(\xi))(-t\eta_2'(\xi))\sin t\eta_2(\xi) + (-t\eta_2''(\xi))\sin t\eta_2(\xi) - (t\eta_2'(\xi))^2\cos t\eta_2(\xi)\Big),\\
f_2''(\xi) = e^{-t\eta_1(\xi)}\Big(&(-t\eta_1'(\xi))^2\sin t\eta_2(\xi) + (-t\eta_1''(\xi))\sin t\eta_2(\xi) + (-t\eta_1'(\xi))(t\eta_2'(\xi))\cos t\eta_2(\xi)\\
&+ (-t\eta_1'(\xi))(t\eta_2'(\xi))\cos t\eta_2(\xi) + (t\eta_2''(\xi))\cos t\eta_2(\xi) - (t\eta_2'(\xi))^2\sin t\eta_2(\xi)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

From the assumptions (2.1) of η_1 and η_2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|f_1''(\xi)|,\ |f_2''(\xi)| &\leq ce^{-t\eta_1(\xi)}\Big((-t\eta_1'(\xi))^2 + |-t\eta_1''(\xi)| + |-t\eta_2''(\xi)| + (-t\eta_2'(\xi))^2\Big)\\
&\leq ce^{-tg_1(|\xi|)}\big(t^2 g_3(|\xi|)^2 + tg_4(|\xi|)\big)\\
&\leq cG(|\xi|).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the change of variables, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_1(\xi)\cos(x\xi)d\xi &= \frac{1}{|x|}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_1(\frac{\xi}{x})\cos\xi d\xi\\ &= \frac{1}{|x|}\sum_{-\infty<k<\infty} I_k^1(x), \end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I_k^1(x) &= \int_{2\pi k}^{2\pi(k+1)} f_1(\frac{\xi}{x})\cos\xi d\xi\\ &= \int_{2\pi k}^{2\pi k+\frac{1}{2}\pi} f_1(\frac{\xi}{x})\cos\xi d\xi + \int_{2\pi k+\frac{\pi}{2}}^{2\pi k+\pi} f_1(\frac{\xi}{x})\cos\xi d\xi\\ &\quad + \int_{2\pi k+\pi}^{2\pi k+\frac{3}{2}\pi} f_1(\frac{\xi}{x})\cos\xi d\xi + \int_{2\pi k+\frac{3}{2}\pi}^{2\pi k+2\pi} f_1(\frac{\xi}{x})\cos\xi d\xi\\ &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}\pi} f_1(\frac{2\pi k+\xi}{x})\cos(2\pi k+\xi)d\xi + \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}\pi} f_1(\frac{2\pi k+\pi-\xi}{x})\cos(2\pi k+\pi-\xi)d\xi\\ &\quad + \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}\pi} f_1(\frac{2\pi k+\pi+\xi}{x})\cos(2\pi k+\pi+\xi)d\xi\\ &\quad + \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}\pi} f_1(\frac{2\pi k+2\pi-\xi}{x})\cos(2\pi k+2\pi-\xi)d\xi\\ &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}\pi}\Big(f_1(\frac{2\pi k+\xi}{x}) - f_1(\frac{2\pi k+\pi-\xi}{x}) - f_1(\frac{2\pi k+\pi+\xi}{x}) + f_1(\frac{2\pi k+2\pi-\xi}{x})\Big)\cos\xi d\xi.\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

Using the mean-value theorem, there are $\epsilon_1\in(\xi,\pi-\xi),\ \epsilon_2\in(\pi+\xi,2\pi-\xi)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}f_1(\frac{2\pi k+\xi}{x}) - f_1(\frac{2\pi k+\pi-\xi}{x}) &= -\frac{1}{x}f_1^{'}(\frac{2\pi k+\epsilon_1}{x})(\pi-2\xi),\\ f_1(\frac{2\pi k+\pi+\xi}{x}) - f_1(\frac{2\pi k+2\pi-\xi}{x}) &= -\frac{1}{x}f_1^{'}(\frac{2\pi k+\epsilon_2}{x})(\pi-2\xi).\end{aligned}$$

We use the mean-value theorem again such that

$$-\frac{1}{x}f_1^{'}(\frac{2\pi k+\epsilon_1}{x})(\pi-2\xi) + \frac{1}{x}f_1^{'}(\frac{2\pi k+\epsilon_2}{x})(\pi-2\xi) = \frac{1}{x^2}(\pi-2\xi)(\epsilon_2-\epsilon_1)f_1^{''}(\frac{2k\pi+\epsilon_3}{x}),$$

where ϵ_3 lies between ϵ_1 and ϵ_2. Hence, we obtain

$$I_k^1(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^2}\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}\pi}(\pi-2\xi)(\epsilon_2-\epsilon_1)f_1^{''}(\frac{2\pi k+\epsilon_3}{x})\cos\xi d\xi.\tag{2.4}$$

Since $f_1^{''}$ is dominated by the positive and decreasing function G, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|I_k^1(x)| &\le c\frac{1}{|x|^2}\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}\pi} G(|\frac{2\pi k+\epsilon_3|}{|x|})d\xi\\ &\le c\begin{cases}\frac{1}{|x|^2}G(\frac{2\pi k}{|x|}), & k\ge 1,\\ \frac{1}{|x|^2}G(\frac{2\pi|k+1|}{|x|}), & k\le -2.\end{cases}\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

Since $G : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ is a decreasing function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{2\le |k|} |I^1_k(x)| &\le c\frac{1}{x^2}\Big(\sum_{2\le k} G(\frac{2\pi|k|}{|x|}) + \sum_{k\le -2} G(\frac{2\pi|k+1|}{|x|})\Big)\\ &\le c\frac{1}{x^2}\sum_{2\le k<\infty} G(\frac{2\pi k}{|x|})\\ &\le c\frac{1}{x^2}\int_1^\infty G(\frac{2\pi y}{|x|})dy\\ &= c\frac{1}{2\pi|x|}\int_{\frac{2\pi}{|x|}}^\infty G(y)dy. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

In the case $k=0$, from (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{x}\int_0^{2\pi} f_1(\frac{\xi}{x})\cos\xi d\xi &= \frac{1}{x}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\Big(f_1(\frac{\xi}{x}) - f_1(\frac{\pi-\xi}{x}) - f_1(\frac{\pi+\xi}{x}) + f_1(\frac{2\pi-\xi}{x})\Big)\cos\xi d\xi\\ &= \frac{1}{x}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\Big(-\int_{\frac{\xi}{x}}^{\frac{\pi-\xi}{x}} f_1^{'}(y)dy + \int_{\frac{\pi+\xi}{x}}^{\frac{2\pi-\xi}{x}} f_1^{'}(y)dy\Big)\cos\xi d\xi\\ &= \frac{1}{x}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\int_{\frac{\xi}{x}}^{\frac{\pi-\xi}{x}}(-f_1^{'}(y) + f_1^{'}(\frac{\pi}{x}+y))dy\cos\xi d\xi\\ &= \frac{1}{x}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\int_{\frac{\xi}{x}}^{\frac{\pi-\xi}{x}}\int_y^{\frac{\pi}{x}+y} f_1^{''}(z)dzdy\cos\xi d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using Fubini's theorem, for $x>0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\frac{1}{x}\int_0^{2\pi} f_1(\frac{\xi}{x})\cos\,\xi d\xi| &\le \frac{1}{x}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\int_{\frac{\xi}{x}}^{\frac{\pi-\xi}{x}}\int_y^{\frac{\pi}{x}+y} G(z)dzdyd\xi\\ &= \frac{1}{x}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\int_{\frac{\xi}{x}}^{\frac{\pi-\xi}{x}} G(z)\int_{\frac{\xi}{x}}^{z} dydzd\xi + \frac{1}{x}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\int_{\frac{\pi-\xi}{x}}^{\frac{\pi+\xi}{x}} G(z)\int_{\frac{\xi}{x}}^{\frac{\pi-\xi}{x}} dydzd\xi\\ &\quad + \frac{1}{x}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\int_{\frac{\pi+\xi}{x}}^{\frac{2\pi-\xi}{x}} G(z)\int_{z-\frac{\pi}{x}}^{\frac{\pi-\xi}{x}} dydzd\xi\\ &= \frac{1}{x}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\int_{\frac{\xi}{x}}^{\frac{\pi-\xi}{x}} G(z)(z-\frac{\xi}{x})dzd\xi + \frac{1}{x}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\int_{\frac{\pi-\xi}{x}}^{\frac{\pi+\xi}{x}} G(z)\frac{\pi-2\xi}{x}dzd\xi\\ &\quad + \frac{1}{x}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\int_{\frac{\pi+\xi}{x}}^{\frac{2\pi-\xi}{x}} G(z)(\frac{2\pi-\xi}{x}-z)dzd\xi\\ &:= I^1_{0,1}(x) + I^1_{0,2}(x) + I^1_{0,3}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using Fubini's theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I^1_{0,1}(x) &= \frac{1}{x}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2x}} G(z)\int_0^{xz}(z-\frac{\xi}{x})d\xi dz + \frac{1}{x}\int_{\frac{\pi}{2x}}^{\frac{\pi}{x}} G(z)\int_0^{\pi-xz}(z-\frac{\xi}{x})d\xi dz \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2x}} G(z)z^2dz + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\frac{\pi}{2x}}^{\frac{\pi}{x}} G(z)(z^2-(\frac{\pi}{x})^2)dz \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2x}} G(z)z^2dz + \frac{1}{2}G(\frac{\pi}{2x})\int_{\frac{\pi}{2x}}^{\frac{\pi}{x}} (z^2-(\frac{\pi}{x})^2)dz \\
&\leq c\big(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2x}} G(z)z^2dz + \frac{1}{x^3}G(\frac{1}{x})\big). \qquad (2.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate $I^1_{0,2}$. Using Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I^1_{0,2} &\leq c\frac{1}{x^2}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\int_{\frac{\pi-\xi}{x}}^{\frac{\pi+\xi}{x}} G(z)dzd\xi \\
&= c\frac{1}{x^2}\int_{\frac{\pi}{2x}}^{\frac{3\pi}{2x}} G(z)dz \\
&\leq c\frac{1}{x^3}G(\frac{1}{x}). \qquad (2.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate $I^1_{0,3}$. Using Fubini's theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I^1_{0,3} &= \frac{1}{x}\int_{\frac{\pi}{x}}^{\frac{3\pi}{2x}} G(z)\int_0^{xz-\pi}(\frac{2\pi-\xi}{x}-z)d\xi dz + \frac{1}{x}\int_{\frac{3\pi}{2x}}^{\frac{2\pi}{x}} G(z)\int_0^{-xz+2\pi}(\frac{2\pi-\xi}{x}-z)d\xi dz \\
&= \frac{1}{x}\int_{\frac{\pi}{x}}^{\frac{3\pi}{2x}} G(z)(xz-\pi)(\frac{5\pi}{2x}-\frac{3z}{2})dz + \frac{1}{x}\int_{\frac{3\pi}{2x}}^{\frac{2\pi}{x}} G(z)(-xz+2\pi)(\frac{\pi}{x}-\frac{1}{2}z)dz \\
&\leq c\frac{1}{x^3}G(\frac{1}{x}). \qquad (2.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9), we have that, for $0 < x$,

$$I^1_0 \leq |\frac{1}{x}\int_0^{2\pi} f_1(\frac{\xi}{x})\cos\xi d\xi| \leq c\big(\frac{1}{|x|^3}G(\frac{1}{x}) + \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2x}} G(z)z^2dz\big). \qquad (2.10)$$

By similar calculation, (2.10) holds for $x < 0$. Using the same argument, we obtain

$$I^1_{-1} \leq |\frac{1}{x}\int_{-2\pi}^{0} f_1(\frac{\xi}{x})\cos\xi d\xi| \leq c\big(\frac{1}{|x|^3}G(\frac{1}{x}) + \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2|x|}} G(z)z^2dz\big). \qquad (2.11)$$

Hence, by (2.2), (2.6), (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain the first inequality of Theorem 2.1.

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_2(\xi)\sin(x\xi)d\xi &= \frac{1}{|x|}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_2(\frac{\xi}{x})\sin\xi d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{|x|}\int_{-\frac{1}{2}\pi}^{\frac{1}{2}\pi} f_2(\frac{\xi}{x})\sin\xi d\xi + \frac{1}{|x|}\sum_{0\leq k<\infty}(I^{2,1}_k + I^{2,2}_k),
\end{aligned}$$

where for $k > 0$,

$$I^{2,1}_k(x) = \int_{2\pi k+\frac{1}{2}\pi}^{2\pi(k+1)+\frac{1}{2}\pi} f_2(\frac{\xi}{x})\sin\xi d\xi, \qquad I^{2,2}_k(x) = \int_{2\pi(-k-1)-\frac{1}{2}\pi}^{2\pi(-k)-\frac{1}{2}\pi} f_2(\frac{\xi}{x})\sin\xi d\xi.$$

Using two applications of mean-value theorem, there are $\epsilon_1 \in (\frac{1}{2}\pi + \xi, \frac{3}{2}\pi - \xi)$, $\epsilon_2 \in (\frac{3}{2}\pi + \xi, \frac{5}{2}\pi - \xi)$ and $\epsilon_3 \in (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_k^{2,1}(x) &= \int_{2\pi k + \frac{1}{2}\pi}^{2\pi(k+1)+\frac{1}{2}\pi} f_2(\frac{\xi}{x}) \sin\xi d\xi \\
&= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \Big(f_2(\frac{2\pi k + \frac{1}{2}\pi + \xi}{x}) - f_2(\frac{2\pi k + \frac{3}{2}\pi - \xi}{x}) \\
&\qquad - f_2(\frac{2\pi k + \frac{3}{2}\pi + \xi}{x}) + f_2(\frac{2\pi k + \frac{5}{2}\pi - \xi}{x}) \Big) \sin\xi d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{x^2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} 2\xi(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1) f_2^{''}(\frac{2\pi k + \epsilon_3}{x}) \sin\xi d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_k^{2,1}(x)| &\leq c\frac{1}{|x|^2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} G(\frac{2\pi k + \epsilon_3}{|x|}) d\xi \\
&\leq c\frac{1}{|x|^2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} G(\frac{2\pi k + \frac{1}{2}\pi}{|x|}) d\xi \\
&\leq c\frac{1}{|x|^2} G(\frac{2\pi k + \frac{1}{2}\pi}{|x|}). \qquad (2.12)
\end{aligned}$$

Using similar calculation to $I_k^{2,1}(x)$, we have

$$|I_k^{2,2}(x)| \leq c\frac{1}{|x|^2} G(\frac{2\pi|k| + \frac{1}{2}\pi}{|x|}). \qquad (2.13)$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{0 \leq k < \infty} (|I_k^{2,1}(x)| + |I_k^{2,2}(x)|) &\leq c\frac{1}{|x|^2} \sum_{1 \leq k < \infty} G(\frac{2\pi k + \frac{1}{2}\pi}{|x|}) \\
&\approx \frac{1}{|x|^2} \int_0^\infty G(\frac{2\pi y + \frac{1}{2}\pi}{|x|}) dy \\
&= c\frac{1}{|x|} \int_{\frac{\pi}{2|x|}}^\infty G(y) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\frac{1}{x} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}\pi}^{\frac{1}{2}\pi} f_2(\frac{\xi}{x}) \sin\xi d\xi| &\leq c\frac{1}{|x|} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}\pi}^{\frac{1}{2}\pi} e^{-tg_1(|\frac{\xi}{x}|)} t g_2(|\frac{\xi}{x}|)\xi \\
&\leq c\frac{t}{|x|} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}\pi} e^{-tg_1(|\frac{\xi}{x}|)} g_2(|\frac{\xi}{x}|)\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain the second inequality of Lemma 2.1. We complete the proof of Lemma 2.1.

□

The second lemma is as follow.

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ *and* $n \geq 2$.

(1) *For* $\alpha > -1$ *and* $a \geq 1$,

$$\int_1^a z^{\alpha} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(z)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-1}^{-1}(z)dz \leq c(\alpha, t) a^{\alpha+1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(a)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(a) r_{n-1}^{-1}(a).$$

(2) *Let* $-1 < \alpha$ *and* a_0 *satisfy* $(1+\alpha)^{-1}(n - \epsilon + \epsilon t\alpha_\epsilon)\ln(1+a_0)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}$ *(that is,* $a_0 = e^{2(1+\alpha)^{-1}(n-1+\alpha_\epsilon t)} - 1$*). For* $a \geq a_0$,

$$\int_{a_0}^a z^{\alpha} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(z)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-1}^{-1}(z)dz \leq c(\alpha) a^{\alpha+1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(a)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(a) r_{n-1}^{-1}(a).$$

(3) *For* $\alpha < -1$ *and* $a \geq 1$,

$$\int_a^\infty z^{\alpha} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(z)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-1}^{-1}(z)dz \leq c(\alpha) a^{\alpha+1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(a)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(a) r_{n-1}^{-1}(a).$$

Proof. To prove (1) of Lemma 2.2, it is sufficient to show

$$\lim_{a\to\infty} \frac{\int_1^a z^{\alpha} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(z)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-1}^{-1}(z)dz}{a^{\alpha+1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(a)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(a) r_{n-1}^{-1}(a)} = (\alpha+1)^{-1}.$$

Using L' Hôspital's theorem, we have

$$\lim_{a\to\infty} \frac{\int_1^a z^{\alpha} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(z)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-1}^{-1}(z)dz}{a^{\alpha+1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(a)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(a) r_{n-1}^{-1}(a)} = \lim_{a\to\infty} \frac{a^{\alpha} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(a)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(a) r_{n-1}^{-1}(a)}{T(a)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T(a) &= \frac{d}{da}\big(a^{\alpha+1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(a)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(a) r_{n-1}^{-1}(a)\big) \\ &= (\alpha+1)a^{\alpha} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(a)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(a) r_{n-1}^{-1}(a) - \epsilon t\alpha_\epsilon a^{\alpha+1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(a)} s_n^{2\epsilon-2}(a) r_{n-1}^{-1}(a)(s_n(a))^{'} \\ &\quad + (\epsilon-1)a^{\alpha+1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(a)} s_n^{\epsilon-2}(a) r_{n-1}^{-1}(a)(s_n(a))^{'} - a^{\alpha+1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(a)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(a) r_{n-1}^{-2}(a) r_{n-1}^{'}(a). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} s_n^{'}(a) &= \prod_{k=1}^{k=n-1} (1+s_k)^{-1}(a)(1+a)^{-1}, \\ r_n^{'}(a) &= \sum_{1\leq k\leq n} t_k(a) \prod_{l=1}^{l=k-1} (1+s_l)^{-1}(a)(1+a)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t_k(a) = \frac{r_n(a)}{s_k(a)}$. Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{a\to\infty} \frac{\int_1^a z^{\alpha} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(z)} r_{n-1}^{-1}(z)dz}{a^{\alpha+1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(a)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(a) r_{n-1}^{-1}(a)} \\ &= \lim_{a\to\infty} \frac{1}{(\alpha+1) + \frac{a}{1+a}\big(-\epsilon t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^{\epsilon-1}(a) + (\epsilon-1)s_n^{-1}(a)\big)\prod_{k=1}^{k=n-1}(1+s_k(a))^{-1} - \frac{a}{1+a} r_{n-1}^{-1}(a)\sum_{1\leq k\leq n} t_k(a) r_k^{-1}(a)} \\ &= (\alpha+1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (1) of Lemma 2.2.

Using the change of variables and integration by parts sequentially, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{a_0}^{a} (z+1)^{\alpha} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(z)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-1}^{-1}(z)dz &= \int_{\ln(1+a_0)}^{\ln(1+a)} e^{(1+\alpha)z} z^{-1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_{n-1}^\epsilon(z)} s_{n-1}^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-2}^{-1}(z)dz\\ &= (1+\alpha)^{-1} e^{(1+\alpha)z} z^{-1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_{n-1}^\epsilon(z)} s_{n-1}^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-2}^{-1}(z)\Big|_{\ln(1+a_0)}^{\ln(1+a)}\\ &\quad - (1+\alpha)^{-1}\int_{\ln(1+a_0)}^{\ln(1+a)} e^{(1+\alpha)z}\frac{d}{dz}\Big(z^{-1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_{n-1}^\epsilon(z)} s_{n-1}^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-2}^{-1}(z)\Big)dz.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{d}{dz}\Big(z^{-1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_{n-1}^\epsilon(z)} s_{n-1}^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-2}^{-1}(z)\Big)\\ &= z^{-1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_{n-1}^\epsilon(z)} s_{n-1}^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-2}^{-1}(z)\Big(z^{-1} + \epsilon t\alpha_\epsilon s_{n-1}^{\epsilon-1}(z)\prod_{k=1}^{k=n-2}(1+s_k(z))^{-1}(1+z)^{-1}\\ &\qquad - (\epsilon-1)s_{n-1}^{-1}(z)\prod_{k=1}^{k=n-2}(1+s_k(z))^{-1}(1+z)^{-1} + r_{n-2}^{-1}(z)\sum_{1\le k\le n-2} t_k(a)\prod_{l=1}^{l=k-1}(1+s_l(a))^{-1}(1+a)^{-1}\Big)\\ &= z^{-1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_{n-1}^\epsilon(z)} s_{n-1}^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-2}^{-1}(z) R(z).\end{aligned}$$

Since $R(z)$ is a decreasing function, for $\ln(a_0+1)\le z$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}R(z) &\le \ln(a_0+1)^{-1} + \epsilon t\alpha_\epsilon \ln(a_0+1)^{-1} - (\epsilon-1)\ln(a_0+1)^{-1} + (n-2)\ln(a_0+1)^{-1}\\ &\le (n-\epsilon+\epsilon t\alpha_\epsilon)\ln(a_0+1)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $(1+\alpha)^{-1}(n-\epsilon+\epsilon t\alpha_\epsilon)\ln(1+a_0)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$-(1+\alpha)^{-1}\frac{d}{dz}\Big(z^{-1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_{n-1}^\epsilon(z)} s_{n-1}^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-2}^{-1}(z)\Big) \le \frac{1}{2} z^{-1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_{n-1}^\epsilon(z)} s_{n-1}^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-2}^{-1}(z), \qquad z\ge \ln(1+a_0).$$

Since $s_{n-1}(\ln(1+a)) = s_n(a)$ and $\ln(1+a) r_{n-2}(\ln(1+a)) = r_{n-1}(a)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{a_0}^{a} (z+1)^{\alpha} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(z)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-1}^{-1}(z)dz &\le 2(1+\alpha)^{-1} e^{(1+\alpha)z} z^{-1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_{n-1}^\epsilon(z)} s_{n-2}^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-2}^{-1}(z)\Big|_{\ln(1+a_0)}^{\ln(1+a)}\\ &\le c_\alpha a^{1+\alpha} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(a)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(a) r_{n-1}^{-1}(a).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we complete the proof of (2) of the Lemma 2.2.

For (3) of Lemma 2.2, using the change of variables and integration by parts sequentially, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{a}^{\infty} (z+1)^{\alpha} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(z)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-1}^{-1}(z)dz &= \int_{\ln(1+a)}^{\infty} e^{(1+\alpha)z} z^{-1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_{n-1}^\epsilon(z)} s_{n-1}^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-2}^{-1}(z)dz\\ &= (1+\alpha)^{-1} e^{(1+\alpha)z} z^{-1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_{n-1}^\epsilon(z)} s_{n-1}^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-2}^{-1}(z)\Big|_{\ln(1+a)}^{\infty}\\ &\quad - (1+\alpha)^{-1}\int_{\ln(1+a)}^{\infty} e^{(1+\alpha)z}\frac{d}{dz}\Big(z^{-1} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_{n-1}^\epsilon(z)} s_{n-1}^{\epsilon-1}(z) r_{n-2}^{-1}(z)\Big)dz.\end{aligned}$$

Since $z^{-1}e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s^\epsilon_{n-1}(z)}s^{\epsilon-1}_{n-1}(z)r^{-1}_{n-2}(z)$ is a decreasing function, $\frac{d}{dz}\Big(z^{-1}e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s^\epsilon_{n-1}(z)}s^{\epsilon-1}_{n-1}(z)r^{-1}_{n-2}(z)\Big)$ is a non-positive function. Since $\alpha < -1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^\infty (z+1)^\alpha e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s^\epsilon_n(z)}s^{\epsilon-1}_n(z)r^{-1}_{n-1}(z)dz &\le (1+\alpha)^{-1}e^{(1+\alpha)z}z^{-1}e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s^\epsilon_{n-1}(z)}s^{\epsilon-1}_{n-1}(z)r^{-1}_{n-2}(z)\Big|^\infty_{\ln(1+a)}\\ &= -(1+\alpha)^{-1}(1+a)^{1+\alpha}e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s^\epsilon_n(z)}s^{\epsilon-1}_n(z)r^{-1}_{n-1}(a)\\ &\le -(1+\alpha)^{-1}a^{1+\alpha}e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s^\epsilon_n(z)}s^{\epsilon-1}_n(z)r^{-1}_{n-1}(a).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we complete the proof of (3) of Lemma 2.2.

□

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(\xi) &:= \alpha_\epsilon s^\epsilon_n(\xi),\\ g_2(\xi) &:= c\begin{cases} |\xi|^\epsilon, & |\xi|\le 1,\\ s^{\epsilon-1}_n(\xi)\frac{1}{1+r_{n-1}(\xi)}, & |\xi|\ge 1,\end{cases}\\ g_3(\xi) &:= c\begin{cases} |\xi|^{\epsilon-1}, & |\xi|\le 1,\\ s^{\epsilon-1}_n(\xi)r^{-1}_{n-1}(\xi)(1+|\xi|)^{-1}, & |\xi|\ge 1,\end{cases}\\ g_4(\xi) &:= c\begin{cases} |\xi|^{\epsilon-2}, & |\xi|\le 1,\\ s^{\epsilon-1}_n(\xi)r^{-1}_{n-1}(\xi)(1+|\xi|)^{-2}, & |\xi|\ge 1.\end{cases}\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

From the Lemma 2.1, it is sufficient to estimate the following;

$$\frac{1}{|x|^2}\int_{\frac{2\pi}{|x|}}^\infty G(\xi)d\xi,\quad \frac{t}{|x|}\int_0^{\frac12\pi} e^{-tg_1(\frac{\xi}{x})}g_2(\frac{\xi}{x})\xi,\quad \frac{1}{|x|^3}G(\frac{1}{x}),\quad \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2x}} G(\xi)\xi^2 d\xi$$

with $G(\xi) := e^{-tg_1(\xi)}\big(t^2g_3(\xi)^2 + tg_4(\xi)\big)$. Note that, by the assumption (1.1) of η_1, we have

$$e^{-t\eta_1(\xi)} \le e^{-tg_1(\xi)} = e^{-\alpha_\epsilon t s^\epsilon_n(\xi)}$$

and

$$G(\xi) \le c\begin{cases} e^{-\alpha_\epsilon t s^\epsilon_n(\xi)}\big(t^2|\xi|^{2\epsilon-2} + t|\xi|^{\epsilon-2}\big), & |\xi|\le 1,\\ t(t+1)e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s^\epsilon_n(\xi)}s^{\epsilon-1}_n(\xi)r^{-1}_{n-1}(\xi)(1+|\xi|)^{-2}, & |\xi|\ge 1.\end{cases}$$

(1) In the case of $|x| \geq 1$.

By direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|x|^2}\int_{\frac{2\pi}{|x|}}^\infty G(\xi)d\xi &\leq c\frac{1}{|x|^2}\int_1^\infty t(t+1)e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(\xi)}s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\xi)r_{n-1}^{-1}(\xi)(1+|\xi|)^{-2}d\xi\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{|x|^2}\int_{\frac{2\pi}{|x|}}^1 e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(\xi)}\big(t^2|\xi|^{2\epsilon-2}+t|\xi|^{\epsilon-2}\big)d\xi\\
&\leq ct(t+1)\begin{cases}\frac{1}{|x|^{1+\epsilon}}, & 0<\epsilon<1,\\ \frac{\ln(1+|x|)}{|x|^2}, & \epsilon=1.\end{cases}
\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

Using the change of variables, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{t}{|x|}\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}\pi} e^{-tg_1(\frac{\xi}{x})}g_2(\frac{\xi}{x})d\xi &\leq ct\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2|x|}} e^{-tg_1(\xi)}g_2(\xi)d\xi\\
&\leq ct\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2|x|}} e^{-\alpha_\epsilon ts_n^\epsilon(\xi)}|\xi|^\epsilon d\xi\\
&\leq ct\frac{1}{|x|^{1+\epsilon}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

Since $\frac{1}{|x|}\leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|x|^3}G(\frac{1}{x}) &\leq c\frac{1}{|x|^3}e^{-\alpha_\epsilon ts_n^\epsilon(\frac{1}{x})}\big(t^2|\frac{1}{x}|^{2\epsilon-2}+t|\frac{1}{x}|^{\epsilon-2}\big)\\
&\leq ct(t+1)\frac{1}{|x|^3}|\frac{1}{x}|^{\epsilon-2}\\
&\leq ct(t+1)\frac{1}{|x|^{1+\epsilon}}
\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2|x|}} G(\xi)\xi^2 d\xi \leq ct(t+1)\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2|x|}}\xi^\epsilon d\xi = ct(t+1)\frac{1}{|x|^{1+\epsilon}}.\tag{3.5}$$

Hence, by (3.2), (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) and Lemma 2.1, Theorem 1.1 holds for $|x|\geq 1$.

(1) In the case of $|x|\leq 1$.

Note that for $|x|\leq 1$, taking $a=\frac{2\pi}{|x|}$ and $\alpha=-2$ in (3) of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|x|^2}\int_{\frac{2\pi}{|x|}}^\infty G(\xi)d\xi &\leq ct(t+1)\frac{1}{|x|^2}\int_{\frac{2\pi}{|x|}}^\infty t(t+1)e^{-\alpha_\epsilon ts_n^\epsilon(\xi)}s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\xi)r_{n-1}^{-1}(\xi)(1+|\xi|)^{-2}d\xi\\
&\leq c(t)\frac{1}{|x|^2}t(t+1)e^{-\alpha_\epsilon ts_n^\epsilon(\frac{1}{x})}s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\frac{1}{x})r_{n-1}^{-1}(\frac{1}{x})(1+|\frac{1}{x}|)^{-1}\\
&\leq c(t)\frac{1}{|x|}t(t+1)e^{-\alpha_\epsilon ts_n^\epsilon(\frac{1}{x})}s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\frac{1}{x})r_{n-1}^{-1}(\frac{1}{x}).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

with $c(t)\leq ct$ for $t\leq 1$. By (1) of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2|x|}} G(\xi)\xi^2 d\xi &\leq ct(t+1)\int_0^1 |\xi|^{\epsilon-2}d\xi + ct(t+1)\int_1^{\frac{\pi}{2|x|}} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(\xi)}s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\xi)r_{n-1}^{-1}(\xi)d\xi\\
&\leq c(t)\frac{1}{|x|}t(t+1)e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(\frac{1}{x})}s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\frac{1}{x})r_{n-1}^{-1}(\frac{1}{x}).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

Moreover, if $t \leq 1$, then by (1) of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2x}} G(\xi)\xi^2 d\xi &\leq ct(t+1)\int_0^{a_0} |\xi|^\epsilon d\xi + ct(t+1)\int_{a_0}^{\frac{\pi}{2x}} e^{-\alpha_\epsilon t s_n^\epsilon(\xi)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\xi) r_{n-1}^{-1}(\xi) d\xi \\ &\leq c(t)\frac{1}{|x|} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(\frac{1}{x})} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\frac{1}{x}) r_{n-1}^{-1}(\frac{1}{x}), \qquad (3.8)\end{aligned}$$

where a_0 is a constant defined in Lemma 2.2. By direct calculation, we get

$$\frac{1}{|x|^3} G(\frac{1}{x}) \leq ct(t+1)\frac{1}{|x|} e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(\frac{1}{x})} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\frac{1}{x}) r_{n-1}^{-1}(\frac{1}{x}). \qquad (3.9)$$

By (2) of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{t}{|x|}\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}\pi} e^{-tg_1(\frac{\xi}{x})} g_2(\frac{\xi}{x}) d\xi &\leq ct\int_0^1 |\xi|^\epsilon d\xi + ct\int_1^{\frac{\pi}{2|x|}} e^{-\alpha_\epsilon t s_n^\epsilon(\xi)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\xi) r_{n-1}^{-1}(\xi) d\xi \\ &\leq c(t)\frac{1}{|x|} t(t+1) e^{-t\alpha_\epsilon s_n^\epsilon(\frac{1}{x})} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\frac{1}{x}) r_{n-1}^{-1}(\frac{1}{x}). \qquad (3.10)\end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (3.6) to (3.10), and Lemma 2.1, Theorem 1.1 holds for $|x| \leq 1$. □

Remark 3.1. *Note that $p_t \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. In fact, using the change of variables ($x = y^{-1}$), we get*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|x|<1} \frac{1}{|x|} e^{-\alpha_\epsilon t s_n^\epsilon(\frac{1}{x})} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\frac{1}{x}) r_{n-1}^{-1}(\frac{1}{x}) dx &= 2\int_0^1 \frac{1}{x} e^{-\alpha_\epsilon t s_n^\epsilon(\frac{1}{x})} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\frac{1}{x}) r_{n-1}^{-1}(\frac{1}{x}) dx \\ &= 2\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x} e^{-\alpha_\epsilon t s_n^\epsilon(x)} s_n^{\epsilon-1}(x) r_{n-1}^{-1}(x) dx.\end{aligned}$$

Use the change of variables ($\ln(x+1) = y$) again, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\leq c\int_{\ln 2}^\infty \frac{1}{x} e^{-\alpha_\epsilon t s_{n-1}^\epsilon(x)} s_{n-2}^{\epsilon-1}(x) r_{n-2}^{-1}(x) dx \\ &= \cdots \\ &\leq c\int_{s_{n-1}(1)}^\infty \frac{1}{x} e^{-\alpha_\epsilon t(\ln(x+1))^\epsilon} (\ln(x+1))_{n-2}^{\epsilon-1} dx \\ &\leq c\int_{s_n(1)}^\infty e^{-\alpha_\epsilon t x^\epsilon} x^{\epsilon-1} dx \\ &< \infty.\end{aligned}$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Since $\eta = \eta_1$ and η is symmetric, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t\eta(\xi)} \sin(x\xi) d\xi = 0$. Hence, the inverse Fourier transform of $f := e^{-t\eta}$ is real and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t\eta(\xi)} e^{-ix\xi} d\xi &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t\eta(\xi)} \cos(x\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{|x|}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t\eta(\frac{\xi}{x})} \cos\xi d\xi \\ &= \sum_{-\infty<k<\infty} \frac{1}{|x|}\int_{2k\pi}^{2(k+1)\pi} f(\frac{\xi}{x}) \cos\xi d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} f^{''}(\xi) &= e^{-t\eta(\xi)}\Big((-t\eta^{'}(\xi))^2 + (-t\eta^{''}(\xi))\Big)\\ &\geq e^{-t\eta(\xi)}(-t\eta^{''}(\xi))\\ &\geq ct\begin{cases} e^{-c_1 t}|\xi|^{\epsilon-2}, & |\xi|\leq 1,\\ e^{-t\alpha_0 s_n^{\epsilon}(\xi)}s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\xi)r_{n-1}^{-1}(\xi)(1+|\xi|)^{-2}, & |\xi|\geq 1\end{cases}\\ &:= g(|\xi|),\end{aligned}$$

where c_1 is a positive constant such that g is decreasing. Then, for $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, as the proof of Lemma 2.1, there are $\epsilon_1\in(\xi,\pi-\xi)$, $\epsilon_2\in(\pi+\xi,2\pi-\xi)$ and $\epsilon_3\in(\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|x|}\int_{2k\pi}^{2(k+1)\pi} f(\frac{\xi}{x})\cos\xi d\xi &= \frac{1}{|x|^3}\int_0^{\frac12\pi}(\pi-2\xi)(\epsilon_2-\epsilon_1)f^{''}(\frac{2\pi k+\epsilon_3}{x})\cos\xi d\xi\\ &\geq \frac{1}{|x|^3}\int_0^{\frac12\pi}(\pi-2\xi)(\epsilon_2-\epsilon_1)g(\frac{|2\pi k+\epsilon_3|}{|x|})\cos\xi d\xi\\ &\geq \frac{1}{|x|^3}\int_{\frac18\pi}^{\frac38\pi}(\pi-2\xi)(\epsilon_2-\epsilon_1)g(\frac{|2\pi k+\frac18\pi|}{|x|})\cos\xi d\xi\\ &\geq c\frac{1}{|x|^3}g(\frac{|2\pi k+\frac18\pi|}{|x|}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t\eta(\xi)}e^{-ix\xi}d\xi &= \sum_{-\infty<k<\infty}\frac{1}{|x|}\int_{2k\pi}^{2(k+1)\pi} f(\frac{\xi}{x})\cos\xi d\xi\\ &\geq c\sum_{0\leq k<\infty}\frac{1}{|x|^3}g(\frac{|2\pi k+\frac18\pi|}{|x|})\\ &\geq c\frac{1}{|x|^2}\int_{\frac{1}{2\pi|x|}}^{\infty} g(y)dy.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $|x|\geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t\eta(\xi)}e^{-ix\xi}d\xi &\geq c\frac{t}{|x|^2}\int_1^\infty e^{-t\alpha_0 s_n^{\epsilon}(\xi)}s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\xi)r_{n-1}^{-1}(\xi)|\xi|^{-2}d\xi + c\frac{t}{|x|^2}\int_{\frac{1}{|x|}}^1 e^{-c_1 t}|\xi|^{\epsilon-2}d\xi\\ &\geq c\begin{cases}\frac{t}{|x|^2} + te^{-c_1 t}\frac{1}{|x|^{2-\epsilon}}, & 0<\epsilon<1,\\ \frac{t}{|x|^2}(1+e^{-c_1 t}\ln(1+|x|)), & \epsilon=1.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Applying (3) of Lemma 2.2, for $\alpha=-2$ and $a=\frac{1}{2\pi|x|}$ for $|x|\leq 1$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t\eta(\xi)}e^{-ix\xi}d\xi \geq c(t)\frac{1}{|x|}e^{-t\alpha_0 s_n^{\epsilon}(\frac1x)}s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\frac1x)r_{n-1}^{-1}(\frac1x).$$

5. Examples

In this section, we show that the Lévy symbol induced from the Laplacian exponent $\psi^{\epsilon,n}(\xi) = (s_n(\xi))^{\epsilon},\ 0<\epsilon\leq 1,\ 1\leq n$ satisfies assumption (1.1). We also show that $\psi^{\epsilon,n}$ satisfies assumption (1.2).

(1). We show that the Lévy symbol induced from the Laplacian exponent $\psi^{\epsilon,n}$ satisfies assumption (1.1).

Clearly, $\psi^{\epsilon,n}$ is a Bernstein function and so $\psi^{\epsilon,n}$ is a Laplace exponent of some subordinators. First, we consider in the case of $\epsilon = 1$ and $n = 1$. Let $\psi^{1,1} := \psi^1$. By (1.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^1(\xi) &= \psi^1(-i\xi) = \ln(1 - i\xi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\ln(1+\xi^2) - iTan^{-1}\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1^1(\xi) &= \frac{1}{2}\ln(1+\xi^2), \\ \eta_2^1(\xi) &= Tan^{-1}\xi. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that η_1^1 and η_2^1 satisfy the assumption in Theorem 1.1 for $n = 1$. Using the mathematical induction. Suppose that $s_n(\xi)$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.1. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{n+1}(\xi) = \psi^{n+1}(-i\xi) &= \ln(1 + s_n(-i\xi)) \\ &= \ln(1 + \eta_1^n(\xi) - i\eta_2^n(\xi)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\ln\left((1+\eta_1^n(\xi))^2 + (\eta_2^n(\xi))^2\right) - iTan^{-1}\frac{\eta_2^n(\xi)}{1+\eta_1^n(\xi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1^{n+1}(\xi) &= \frac{1}{2}\ln\left((1+\eta_1^n(\xi))^2 + (\eta_2^n(\xi))^2\right), \\ \eta_2^{n+1}(\xi) &= Tan^{-1}\frac{\eta_2^n(\xi)}{1+\eta_1^n(\xi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Under the assumption that η_1^n and η_2^n satisfies (1.1), it is easy to show that η_1^{n+1} and η_2^{n+1} satisfy (1.1). Hence, by mathematical induction, s_n satisfies (1.1) for all $n \geq 1$.

Next, let $0 < \epsilon < 1$. By (1.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_\epsilon^{n+1}(\xi) &= (s_n(-i\xi))^\epsilon = \ln(1 + s_{n-1}(-i\xi))^\epsilon \\ &= \ln(1 + \eta_1^n(\xi) - i\eta_2^n(\xi))^\epsilon \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\ln\left((1+\eta_1^n(\xi))^2 + (\eta_2^n(\xi))^2\right) - iTan^{-1}\frac{\eta_2^n(\xi)}{(1+\eta_1^n(\xi))}\right)^\epsilon \\ &= e^{\epsilon\ln\left(\frac{1}{2}\ln\left((1+\eta_1^n(\xi))^2+(\eta_2^n(\xi))^2\right) - iTan^{-1}\frac{\eta_2^n(\xi)}{(1+\eta_1^n(\xi))}\right)} \\ &= e^{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon\ln\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\ln\left((1+\eta_1^n(\xi))^2+(\eta_2^n(\xi))^2\right)\right)^2 + \left(Tan^{-1}\frac{\eta_2^n(\xi)}{(1+\eta_1^n(\xi))}\right)^2\right) - i\epsilon Tan^{-1}\frac{Tan^{-1}\frac{\eta_2^n(\xi)}{(1+\eta_1^n(\xi))}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\ln\left((1+\eta_1^n(\xi))^2+(\eta_2^n(\xi))^2\right)\right)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,we get

$$\eta_{\epsilon 1}^{n+1}(\xi) = \Big(\big(\frac{1}{2} \ln \big((1+\eta_1^n(\xi))^2 + (\eta_2^n(\xi))^2 \big) \big)^2 + \big(Tan^{-1} \frac{\eta_2^n(\xi)}{(1+\eta_1^n(\xi))} \big)^2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon} \cos \epsilon Tan^{-1} \frac{Tan^{-1} \frac{\eta_2^n(\xi)}{(1+\eta_1^n(\xi))}}{\big(\frac{1}{2} \ln \big((1+\eta_1^n(\xi))^2 + (\eta_2^n(\xi))^2 \big) \big)},$$

$$\eta_{\epsilon 2}^{n+1}(\xi) = \Big(\big(\frac{1}{2} \ln \big((1+\eta_1^n(\xi))^2 + (\eta_2^n(\xi))^2 \big) \big)^2 + \big(Tan^{-1} \frac{\eta_2^n(\xi)}{(1+\eta_1^n(\xi))} \big)^2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon} \sin \epsilon Tan^{-1} \frac{Tan^{-1} \frac{\eta_2^n(\xi)}{(1+\eta_1^n(\xi))}}{\big(\frac{1}{2} \ln \big((1+\eta_1^n(\xi))^2 + (\eta_2^n(\xi))^2 \big) \big)}.$$

Since $\eta_1^n(\xi)$ and $\eta_2^n(\xi)$ satisfy (1.1), it is easy to show that $\eta_{\epsilon 1}^n(\xi)$ and $\eta_{\epsilon 2}^n(\xi)$ satisfy (1.1).

(2). Second, we show that $\psi^{\epsilon,n}(\xi) = (s_n(\xi))^\epsilon$ satisfies assumption (1.2).

First, we consider the case of $\epsilon = 1$. By direct calculus, we have

$$(\psi^{1,n}(\xi))^{'} = s_n^{'}(\xi) = A_{n-1}(\xi), \quad (\psi^{1,n}(\xi))^{''} = s_n^{''}(\xi) = -\sum_{1 \le k \le n-1} B_k(\xi),$$

where for $1 \le k \le n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n-1}(\xi) &:= (1+s_{n-1}(\xi))^{-1}(1+s_{n-2}(\xi))^{-1} \cdots (1+s_1(\xi))^{-1}(1+\xi)^{-1}, \\ B_k(\xi) &:= A_{n-1}(\xi) A_k(\xi), \quad 1 \le k \le n-1, \\ B_0(\xi) &:= A_{n-1}(\xi)(1+\xi)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $B_k(\xi) > 0$ for all $0 \le k \le n-1$, we have

$$-(\psi^{1,n}(\xi))^{''} = \sum_{1 \le k \le n-1} B_k(\xi) > B_0(\xi) \ge c r_{n-1}^{-1}(\xi)(1+\xi)^{-2}.$$

Next, we consider the case of $0 < \epsilon < 1$. By direct calculus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -(\psi^{\epsilon,n}(\xi))^{''} &= -(\epsilon-1) s_n^{\epsilon-2}(\xi) A_{n-1}^2(\xi) + s_n^{\epsilon-1}(\xi) \sum_{1 \le k \le n-1} B_k(\xi) \\ &\ge s_{n-1}^{\epsilon-1}(\xi) B_0(\xi) \\ &\ge c s_{n-1}^{\epsilon-1}(\xi) r_{n-1}^{-1}(\xi)(1+\xi)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

References

[1] D. Applebaum, *Lévy processes and Stochastic calculus*, Campridge Unversity press(2009).

[2] K. Bogdan and T. Byczkowski, *Potential theory for the α-stable Schrodinger operator on bounded Lipschitz domains*, Studia Math. **133**, no. 1(1999), 53-92.

[3] K. Bogdan and T. Jakubowski, *Estimates of heat kernel of fractional Laplacian perturbed by gradient operators*, Comm. Math. Phys., **271**, no. 1 (2007), 179-198.

[4] R. M. Blumenthal and R. K. Getoor, *Some theorems on stable processes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, **60**, 263-273(1960).

[5] K. Bogdan and P. Sztonyk, *Estimates of potential kernel and Harnack's inequality for anisotropic fractional Laplacian*, Stud. Math. **181**, no.2, 101-123(2007).

[6] Z. Q. Chen and T. Kumagai, *Heat kernel estimates for stable-like processes on d-sets*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **108**, no. 1, 27-62(2003).

[7] Z. Q. Chen and T. Kumagai, *Heat kernel estimates for jump processes of mixed types on metric measure spaces*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields. **140**, no. 1-2, 277-317(2008).

[8] J. Dzibański, *Asymptotic behaviour of densities of stable semigroups of measures*, Probab. Theory. Related Fields **87**, 459-467(1991).

[9] P. Glowacki, *Lipschitz contiuity of densities of stable semigroups of measures*, Colloq. Math. **66**, no.1, 29-47(1993).

[10] P. Glowacki and W. Hebisch, *Pointwise estimates for densities of stable semigroups of measures*, Studia Math. **104**, 243-258(1993).

[11] W. E. Pruitt and S. J. Taylor, *The potential kernel and hitting probabilities for the general stable process in* $\mathbb{R}^d$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **146**, 2999- 321(1969).

[12] Victoria Knopova and René, *A note on the existence of transition probability densities of Lévy processes*, Forum Mathematicum, **27**, no 1, 125-150(2013).

[13] Pawel Sztonyk, *Estimate of tempered stable densities*, J. Theor. Probab, **23**, 127-147(2010).

[14] Pawel Sztonyk, *Transmision density estimates for a class of Lévy and Lévy- type processes*, **25**, 144-170(2012).

[15] T. Watanabe, *Asymptotic estimates of multi-dimensional stable densities and their applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **359**, no-6. 2851-2879(2007).

[16] A. Zaigraev, *On asymptotic properties of multidimensional* α*-stable densityes*, Math. Machr. **279**, no. 16, 1835-1854(2006).

[17] V. M. Zolotarev, *One-dimensional stable distributions*, Amer. Math. Soc., Provdence, RI. 1986.

Department of Mathematics, Yonsei University, Seoul, 136-701, South Korea
E-mail address: `chang7357@yonsei.ac.kr`